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1 DESCRIPTION

1.1 Purpose

This document details the algorithms used for creating NEON L1 DP from L0 DP for tower-based measurements of incoming short wave (ISW) radiation, and ancillary data as defined in this document (such as calibration data), obtained via instrumental measurements made by the pyranometer. It includes a detailed discussion of measurement theory and implementation, appropriate theoretical background, data product provenance, quality assurance and control methods used, approximations and/or assumptions made, and a detailed exposition of uncertainty resulting in a cumulative reported uncertainty for this product.

1.2 Scope

The theoretical background and entire algorithmic process used to derive Level 1 data from Level 0 data for the primary pyranometer are described in this document. It is expected that the primary pyranometer employed at all NEON core tower sites to measure SW radiation is the Kipp and Zonen CMP22. In addition, the Kipp and Zonen CV3 ventilation unit will accompany the CMP22 at all NEON sites. This document does not provide computational implementation details, except for cases where these stem directly from algorithmic choices explained here.
2 RELATED DOCUMENTS ACRONYMS AND VARIABLE NOMENCLATURE

2.1 Applicable Documents

| AD[01] | NEON.DOC.000001 | NEON OBSERVATORY DESIGN |
| AD[02] | NEON.DOC.005003 | NEON Scientific Data Products Catalog |
| AD[03] | NEON.DOC.005004 | NEON Level 1-3 Data Products Catalog |
| AD[04] | NEON.DOC.005005 | NEON Level 0 Data Products Catalog |
| AD[05] | NEON.DOC.000782 | ATBD QA/QC Data Consistency |
| AD[06] | NEON.DOC.011081 | ATBD QA/QC plausibility tests |
| AD[07] | NEON.DOC.000783 | ATBD QA/QC Time Series Signal Despiking for TIS Level 1 Data Products |
| AD[08] | NEON.DOC.000800 | CMP22 – Primary Pyranometer Calibration/Validation Procedure |
| AD[09] | NEON.DOC.000549 | C³ Primary Pyranometer |
| AD[10] | NEON.DOC.000785 | TIS Level 1 Data Products Uncertainty Budget Estimation Plan |
| AD[12] | NEON.DOC.000927 | NEON Calibration and Sensor Uncertainty Values |
| AD[14] | NEON.DOC.001113 | Quality Flags and Quality Metrics for TIS Data Products |

2.2 Reference Documents

| RD[01] | NEON.DOC.000008 | NEON Acronym List |
| RD[02] | NEON.DOC.000243 | NEON Glossary of Terms |

2.3 Acronyms
2.4 Variable Nomenclature

The symbols used to display the various inputs in the ATBD, e.g., calibration coefficients and uncertainty estimates, were chosen so that the equations can be easily interpreted by the reader. However, the symbols provided will not always reflect NEON’s internal notation, which is relevant for CI’s use, and or the notation that is used to present variables on NEON’s data portal. Therefore a lookup table is provided in order to distinguish what symbols specific variables can be tied to in the following document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Internal/Portal Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>CVALA1</td>
<td>CVAL calibration coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{SW_1}$</td>
<td>mean Incoming Shortwave_1</td>
<td>One-minute mean Incoming Shortwave radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{SW_{30}}$</td>
<td>meanIncomingShortwave_30</td>
<td>Thirty-minute mean Incoming Shortwave</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Acronym | Explanation
---|---
ATBD | Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document
CVAL | NEON Calibration, Validation, and Audit Laboratory
DAS | Data Acquisition System
DP | Data Product
FDAS | Field Data Acquisition System
GRAPE | Grouped Remote Analog Peripheral Equipment
ISW | Incoming Shortwave (i.e. Solar Radiation)
L0 | Level 0
L1 | Level 1
LW | Longwave (i.e. Far Infra-red Radiation)
PRT | Platinum Resistance Thermometer
SW | Shortwave (i.e. Solar Radiation)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>U CVAL</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u_{A1}$</td>
<td>U CVALA1</td>
<td>Combined, relative uncertainty of pyranometer (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{A3}$</td>
<td>U CVALA3</td>
<td>Combined, relative uncertainty (truth and trueness only) of pyranometer (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{V1}$</td>
<td>U CVALV1</td>
<td>Combined, relative uncertainty of Field DAS voltage measurements (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{V3}$</td>
<td>U CVALV3</td>
<td>Combined, relative uncertainty (truth and trueness only) of Field DAS voltage measurements (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{effA1}$</td>
<td>U CVALD1</td>
<td>Effective degrees of freedom relating to $u_{CVALA1}$ (unitless)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{effA3}$</td>
<td>U CVALD3</td>
<td>Effective degrees of freedom relating to $u_{CVALA3}$ (unitless)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{effV1}$</td>
<td>U CVALG1</td>
<td>Effective degrees of freedom relating to $u_{CVALV1}$ (unitless)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{effV3}$</td>
<td>U CVALG3</td>
<td>Effective degrees of freedom relating to $u_{CVALV3}$ (unitless)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5 Verb Convention

"Shall" is used whenever a specification expresses a provision that is binding. The verbs "should" and "may" express non-mandatory provisions. "Will" is used to express a declaration of purpose on the part of the design activity.
### 3 DATA PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 3.1 Variables Reported

Table 1 details the SW radiation-related L1 DPs provided by the algorithms documented in this ATBD.

**Table 1.** SW radiation-related L1 DPs that are produced in this ATBD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data product</th>
<th>Averaging Period</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Data Product ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-minute Mean Incoming Shortwave Radiation (mean Incoming Shortwave_1)</td>
<td>1-min</td>
<td>$W , m^2$</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP1.00022.001.001.01.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-minute Minimum Incoming Shortwave Radiation (min Incoming Shortwave_1)</td>
<td>1-min</td>
<td>$W , m^2$</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP1.00022.001.002.01.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-minute Maximum Incoming Shortwave Radiation (max Incoming Shortwave_1)</td>
<td>1-min</td>
<td>$W , m^2$</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP1.00022.001.003.01.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-minute Variance Incoming Shortwave Radiation (var Incoming Shortwave_1)</td>
<td>1-min</td>
<td>$(W , m^2)^2$</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP1.00022.001.004.01.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-minute QA/QC Summary Incoming Shortwave Radiation (Qsum Incoming Shortwave_1)</td>
<td>1-min</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP1.00022.001.005.01.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-minute QA/QC Report Incoming Shortwave Radiation (Qrpt Incoming Shortwave_1)</td>
<td>1-min</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP1.00022.001.006.01.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-minute Mean Incoming Shortwave Radiation (mean Incoming Shortwave_30)</td>
<td>30-min</td>
<td>$W , m^2$</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP1.00022.001.001.01.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Input Dependencies

Table 2 details the SW radiation-related L0 DPs used to produce L1 DPs in this ATBD.

Table 2. SW radiation-related L0 DPs that are used to produce L1 DPs via this ATBD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data product</th>
<th>Sample Frequency</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Data Product ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyranometer (P)</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP0.00022.001.001.001.001.001.001.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Body Temperature</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP0.00022.001.002.001.001.001.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan Tachometer Speed</td>
<td>1 Hz</td>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP0.00022.001.003.001.001.001.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heater Flag (QF_H1)</td>
<td>State Change</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP0.00022.001.004.001.001.001.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heater Flag (QF_H2)</td>
<td>State Change</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NEON.DXX.XXX.DP0.00022.001.005.001.001.001.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Product Instances

One primary pyranometer will be deployed at core tower sites only, see AD[13] for specific details.

3.4 Temporal Resolution and Extent
One- and thirty-minute averages of incoming SW radiation will be calculated to form L1 DPs.

### 3.5 Spatial Resolution and Extent

The primary pyranometer will be located at the top level at all core tower sites. Thus, observations reflect the point in space where pyranometer is affixed to the tower infrastructure, see AD[13] for specific details.

### 4 SCIENTIFIC CONTEXT

The sun’s energy is emitted to the earth mainly in the form of incoming short wave (SW) radiation, with a small portion it falling LW radiation wavelengths. Pyranometers serve to quantify SW radiation and in turn provide a foundation for investigations of the Earth’s climate. Incoming SW radiation, which is composed primarily of ultraviolet, visible, and a portion of infra-red wavelengths, is the primary driver for the Earth’s climate. As such, the observations of incoming shortwave radiation are of great interest to the scientific and broader community in assessing the Earth’s energy budget.

#### 4.1 Theory of Measurement

The Kipp and Zonen CMP22 pyranometer is a passive sensor that uses a thermopile to detect incoming SW radiation flux ($\lambda$ 200 to 3600 nm). The Kipp and Zonen CV3 ventilation unit will also be installed on each pyranometer, and includes both a fan and two five watt heaters. The ventilation unit will help to prevent temperature differentials and the buildup of precipitation (i.e. dew, frost, ice, etc.). The fan will always be operational, while the heaters will be activated based on the sensor body temperature according to AD[09].

The thermopile’s surface is coated with a non-spectrally selective black paint that absorbs SW radiation. The sensing element for the thermopile is composed of numerous thermocouple junction pairs that are connected electrically in series. As the sensor absorbs SW radiation, active or ‘hot’ thermocouple junctions increase in temperature. The temperature differential between an active junction and a reference or ‘cold’ junction (i.e. kept at a fixed temperature) produces an electromotive force. This electromotive force is directly proportional to the temperature differential; known as a thermoelectric effect. Thus, the temperature difference across the thermal resistance of the detector (i.e. thermopile) is converted into a voltage that is a linear function of the absorbed solar irradiance. Since the physical properties of each thermopile and sensor will vary slightly, so will the sensitivity of each pyranometer. Therefore, for each pyranometer, even for the same model, it is necessary to determine unique sensor specific sensitivity calibration factors. Details on how these sensitivity calibration factors were determined can be found in AD[08].
4.2 Theory of Algorithm

4.2.1 Kipp and Zonen CMP22 Pyranometer and CV3 ventilation unit

An internal PRT 100 in the CMP22 will be used to control the heater in the CV3 ventilation unit as described in AD[09]. The PRT signal must be converted from resistance to degrees Celsius prior to being used for heater control. The PRT signal will be converted to degrees Celsius according to Kipp and Zonen’s specifications (Kipp and Zonen, 2010):

\[ T = \frac{-\alpha \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4\beta \left(\frac{-R}{100} + 1\right)}}{2\beta} \]  

(1)

Where:

- \( T \) = PRT 100 temperature (°C)
- \( \alpha \) = 3.9083*10\(^{-3}\)
- \( \beta \) = -5.8019*10\(^{-7}\)
- \( R \) = PRT 100 resistance (Ω)

4.2.2 Short-wave Radiation Measurement

The thermopile output from the pyranometer is converted from (V) to (W m\(^{-2}\)) as a function of sensor specific sensitivities; these will be determined by CVAL according to AD[08]. Using the sensor specific sensitivity and the output from the thermopile, incoming SW radiation is determined:

\[ ISW_i = P_i \times C_1 \]  

(2)

Where:

- \( ISW_i \) = Individual (1 Hz) incoming Short Wave Radiation (W m\(^{-2}\))
- \( P_i \) = Individual pyranometer output (V)
- \( C_1 \) = Pyranometer sensor specific sensitivity (W m\(^{-2}\) V\(^{-1}\)); provided by CVAL

After incoming SW radiation is determined and the QA/QC procedures explained in Section 5 have been implemented, one-minute (\( ISW_{1m} \)) and thirty-minute (\( ISW_{30m} \)) averages will be determined according to Eq. (3) and (4) to create L1 DPs. However, individual calibrated measurements, i.e. 1 Hz incoming shortwave radiation, will be made available upon request.
\[ ISW_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ISW_i \]  

(3)

where, for each 1-minute average, \( n \) is the number of measurements during the averaging period and \( ISW_i \) is a 1-Hz measurement taken during the 60-second averaging period [0, 60). For a 1-minute average, \( n = 60 \) if all data points are included.

Similarly,

\[ ISW_{30} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ISW_i \]  

(4)

where, for each 30-minute average, \( n \) is the number of measurements during the averaging period and \( ISW_i \) is a 1-Hz measurement taken during the 1800-second averaging period [0, 1800). Note: The beginning of the first averaging period in a series shall be the nearest whole minute less than or equal to the first timestamp in the series.

5  ALGORITHM IMPLEMENTATION

Data flow for signal processing of L1 DPs will be treated in the following order.

1. SW radiation will be determined from the L0 DPs through Eq. (2).
2. QA/QC Plausibility tests will be applied to the data stream in accordance with AD[06], details are provided below.
3. Signal despiking will be applied to the data stream in accordance with AD[07].
4. One- and thirty-minute incoming shortwave radiation averages will be calculated using Eq. (3) and (4) and descriptive statistics, i.e. minimum, maximum, and variance, will be determined for both averaging periods.
5. QA/QC consistency tests will be applied to one- and thirty-minute averages in accordance with AD[05].
6. QA/QC summaries (e.g. QsumISW_1 and QsumISW_30) will be created (flags are defined below).

QA/QC Procedure:

1. Plausibility Tests AD[08] - All plausibility tests will be determined for the primary pyranometer. Test parameters will be provided by FIU and maintained in the CI data store. All
plausibility tests will be applied to the sensor’s converted L0 DPs and associated quality flags (QFs) will be generated for each test.

2. **Sensor Test** – The two five watt heaters in the CV3 venation unit are operated according to the C³ document, AD[09]. When the heaters are operational, flags will be set and applied to the L0 DPs. Due to the nature of SW radiation, the heaters will only induce minimal variability in measurements, which will be discussed in Section 6.1.3. Flags from the heaters will be used to determine uncertainty estimates and to provide ancillary information that may be useful for troubleshooting. Thus, heater flags will be combined with the other quality flags to form the L1 QA/QC summary.

3. **Signal Despiking** – The time series despiking routine will be run according to AD[07]. Test parameters will be specified by FIU and maintained in the CI data store. Quality flags resulting from the despiking analysis will be applied according to AD[07].

4. **Consistency Analysis** – Currently, there is no plan to run consistency analysis on the L1 DP for the pyranometer. However, time series consistency analysis may be explored in the future.

**Quality Flags (QFs) and Quality Metrics (QMs)** AD[14] – If a datum has one of the following flags it will not be used to create a L1 DP, \(QF_{RH}\) and \(QF_D\). α and β QFs and QMs will be determined for the following flags \(QF_{RH}\), \(QF_{RS}\), \(QF_P\), \(QF_S\), \(QF_N\), \(QF_G\), and \(QF_D\). All L1 DPs will have an associated final quality flag, \(QF_{FINAL}\), and quality summary, Qsum, as detailed in AD[14]. Flags that may be associated with incoming SW measurements, as well as information maintained in the CI data store can be found below in Tables 3 and 4.

**Table 3.** Flags associated with SW radiation measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests</th>
<th>Flags</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range (hard and soft)</td>
<td>(QF_{RH})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(QF_{RS})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>(QF_P)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step</td>
<td>(QF_S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null</td>
<td>(QF_N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap</td>
<td>(QF_G)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Despiking</td>
<td>(QF_D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(QF_O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(QF_I)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Information maintained in the CI data store for the primary pyranometer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests/Values</th>
<th>CI Data Store Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range (hard and soft)</td>
<td>Minimum and maximum values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence</td>
<td>Window size, threshold values and maximum time length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step</td>
<td>Threshold values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null</td>
<td>Test limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal Despiking</td>
<td>Time segments and threshold values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibration</td>
<td>AD[08]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertainty</td>
<td>AD[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor Test</td>
<td>AD[09]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Quality Flag</td>
<td>AD[14]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 Uncertainty

Uncertainty of measurement is inevitable; therefore, measurements should be accompanied by a statement of their uncertainty for completeness (JCGM 2008; Taylor 1997). To do so, it is imperative to identify all sources of measurement uncertainty related to the quantity being measured. Quantifying the uncertainty of TIS measurements will provide a measure of the reliability and applicability of individual measurements and TIS data products. This portion of the document serves to identify, evaluate, and quantify sources of uncertainty relating to individual, calibrated short-wave radiation measurements as well as L1 mean DPs. It is a reflection of the information described in AD[10], and is explicitly described for the primary pyranometer assembly in the following sections.

6.1 Uncertainty of Insolation Measurements
Uncertainty of the pyranometer assembly is discussed in this section. The section is broken down into two topics. The first informs the sources of *measurement* uncertainty, i.e., those associated with *individual measurements*. The second details uncertainties associated with temporally averaged data products. A diagram detailing the data flow and known sources of uncertainty are displayed in Figure 2.

*Figure 2:* Displays the data flow and associated uncertainties of individual insolation measurements and L1 insolation DPs. For a detailed explanation of pyranometer calibration procedures, please refer to AD[08,11].

### 6.1.1 Measurement Uncertainty

The following subsections present the uncertainties associated with *individual radiation observations*. It is important to note that the uncertainties presented in the following subsections are *measurement uncertainties*, that is, they reflect the uncertainty of an *individual* radiation measurement. These uncertainties should not be confused with those presented in Section 6.1.2. We urge the reader to refer
to AD[12] for further details concerning discrepancies between quantification of measurement uncertainties and L1 data product uncertainties.

NEON calculates measurement uncertainties according to recommendations of the Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology (JCGM) 2008. In essence, if a measurand $y$ is a function of $n$ input quantities $x_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$), $i.e., y = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, the combined measurement uncertainty of $y$, assuming the inputs are independent, can be calculated as follows:

$$u_c(y) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 u^2(x_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

(5)

where

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \text{partial derivative of } y \text{ with respect to } x_i$$

$$u(x_i) = \text{combined standard uncertainty of } x_i.$$

Thus, the uncertainty of the measurand can be found by summing the input uncertainties in quadrature. The calculation of these input uncertainties is discussed below.

6.1.1.1 Calibration

Uncertainties associated with the calibration process propagate into a combined, relative, measurement uncertainty. This combined uncertainty, $u_{A1}$, represents i) the repeatability and reproducibility of the sensor and the lab DAS and ii) uncertainty of the calibration procedures and coefficients including uncertainty in the standard (truth). (refer to Eq. (2)). It is a relative value [%] that will be provided by CVAL (AD[12]) and stored in the CI data store. After converting from [%] to measurement units, it will be applied to all individual measurements (that is, it does not vary with any specific sensor, DAS component, etc.). A detailed summary of the calibration procedures and corresponding uncertainty estimates can be found in AD[08,11].

The combined, standard, measurement uncertainty is calculated as follows:

$$u_{CVAL}(ISW_i) = u_{A1} \times ISW_i.$$

(6)
6.1.1.2 Ventilation Unit

Pyranometer performance can be improved by using a well-designed ventilation unit (i.e., a fan and two heaters), as it helps prevent temperature differentials and buildup of moisture (Kipp and Zonen 1999). Although use of the Kipp and Zonen ventilation unit improves measurement accuracy, it affects the variability of the measurement, thus adding uncertainty to the measurement. At this time we cannot quantify the extent of this variability and thus, we cannot quantify related uncertainties. However, with sufficient operational experience, such uncertainties may be better estimated.

6.1.1.3 Field DAS

To quantify the uncertainty introduced by the Field DAS (FDAS), the following equations are computed.

\[
 u_{FDAS}(P_i) = (u_{V1} \times P_i) + O_V
\]  

(7)

Where:

- \( u_{FDAS}(P_i) \) = combined, standard uncertainty introduced by the Field Das (V)
- \( P_i \) = Sensor output (insolation; V)
- \( u_{V1} \) = combined, relative Field DAS uncertainty for voltage measurements, provided by CVAL (unitless)
- \( O_V \) = offset imposed by the FDAS, provided by CVAL (V)

\[
\frac{\partial ISW_i}{\partial P_i} = C_1
\]  

(8)

\[
u_{FDAS}(ISW_i) = \left| \frac{\partial ISW_i}{\partial P_i} \right| u_{FDAS}(P_i)
\]  

(9)

Where:

- \( \frac{\partial ISW_i}{\partial P_i} \) = partial derivative of Eq. (2) with respect to \( P_i \) (W m\(^{-2}\))
- \( C_1 \) = calibration coefficient provided by CVAL (W m\(^{-2}\))
- \( u_{FDAS}(ISW_i) \) = converted, combined, standard uncertainty introduced by the Field DAS (W m\(^{-2}\))
6.1.1.4 Combined Measurement Uncertainty

The combined, standard, measurement uncertainty for an individual pyranometer measurement, $u_c(ISW_i)$, is given in units of W m$^{-2}$ and computed by summing the individual uncertainties in quadrature:

$$u_c(ISW_i) = \left( u_{CV,AL}^2(ISW_i) + u_{FD,AS}^2(ISW_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (10)$$

6.1.1.5 Expanded Measurement Uncertainty

To derive an expanded measurement uncertainty, the effective degrees of freedom for the individual measurement must be computed:

$$V_{eff, ISW_i} = \frac{u_c^4(ISW_i)}{V_{eff,f}} + \frac{V_{eff,A1}}{V_{eff,V1}} \quad (11)$$

$V_{eff, ISW_i}$ = effective degrees of freedom relating to quantification of the combined, standard, measurement uncertainty (unitless)

$V_{eff,f}$ = effective degrees of freedom relating to quantification of sensor calibration uncertainty; provided by CVAL in AD[12] (unitless)

$V_{eff,V1}$ = effective degrees of freedom relating to quantification of field DAS uncertainty for voltage readings; provided by CVAL in AD[12] (unitless)

Next, the expanded measurement uncertainty is calculated:

$$U_{95}(ISW_i) = k_{95,V_{eff,ISW_i}} \cdot u_c(ISW_i) \quad (12)$$

Where:

$U_{95}(ISW_i)$ = expanded measurement uncertainty at 95% confidence (W m$^{-2}$)

$k_{95,V_{eff,ISW_i}}$ = coverage factor obtained with the aid of Table 5 in AD[10] (unitless)
6.1.2 Uncertainty of L1 Mean Data Product

The following subsections discuss uncertainties associated with L1 mean data products. As stated previously, it is important to note the differences between the measurement uncertainties presented in Section 6.1.1 and the uncertainties presented in the following subsections. The uncertainties presented in the following subsections reflect the uncertainty of a time-averaged mean value, that is, they reflect the uncertainty of a distribution of measurements collected under non-controlled conditions (i.e., those found in the field), as well as any uncertainties, in the form of Truth and Trueness, related to the accuracy of the field assembly.

6.1.2.1 Repeatability (natural variation)

To quantify the uncertainty attributable to random effects, the distribution of the individual measurements is used. Specifically, the estimated standard error of the mean (natural variation) is computed. This value reflects the repeatability of insolation measurements for a specified time period:

\[ u_{\text{NAT}}(\overline{ISW}) = \frac{s(ISW_i)}{\sqrt{n}} \]  

Where,

- \( u_{\text{NAT}}(\overline{ISW}) \) = standard error of the mean (natural variation) (W m\(^{-2}\))
- \( s(ISW_i) \) = experimental standard deviation of individual observations for the defined time period (W m\(^{-2}\))
- \( n \) = number of observations made during the defined time period. (unitless)

6.1.2.2 Calibration

The uncertainty detailed here is similar to that described in Section 6.1.1.1. However, this combined, relative uncertainty, \( u_{A3} \), does not account for i) individual sensor repeatability, or ii) the variation of sensors’ responses over a population (reproducibility). This component of uncertainty estimates the uncertainty due to accuracy of the instrumentation in the form of Truth and Trueness, a quantity which is not captured by the standard error of the mean. It is a relative value [%] that will be provided by CVAL (AD[12]) and stored in the CI data store. After converting to measurement units, the uncertainty will be calculated using the maximum insolation value observed during the averaging period.
\[ u_{\text{CVAL}(TT)}(ISW) = u_{A3} \times ISW_{\text{MAX}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Where, the subscript “\text{MAX}” represents the index, \( i \), where the maximum, combined, standard, measurement uncertainty of an individual radiation measurement is observed over a set (averaging period) of observations. Mathematically, this can be defined as:

\[ \text{MAX} = \{ i: u_c(ISW_i) = \max[u_c(ISW_1), \ldots, u_c(ISW_n)] \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

And,

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{\text{CVAL}(TT)}(ISW) &= \text{combined, standard Truth and Trueness uncertainty due to the sensor calibration process (W m}^{-2}) \\
    ISW_{\text{MAX}} &= \text{ISW measurement observed at MAX index (W m}^{-2}) \\
    u_{A3} &= \text{Combined, relative uncertainty (Truth and Trueness only) of pyranometer, provided by CVAL (unitless)}
\end{align*}
\]

Please refer to AD[10] for further justification regarding evaluation and quantification of using the maximum index for quantification of these L1 mean data product uncertainties.

### 6.1.2.3 Field DAS

Since the L1 mean DP is a function of the individual measurements, any measurement bias introduced by the Field DAS will be reflected in the L1 mean data product. Here, the raw measurement that maximizes the combined uncertainty of an individual measurement (Eq.(10)) is used in the calculation of the L1 mean DP uncertainty. Uncertainty components due to random effects, whether a function of the environment or the measurement assembly, are quantified via the natural variation of the mean (see Section 6.1.2.1). For more information regarding the justification of this approach, please see AD[10].

The accuracy of the Field DAS in the form of Truth and Trueness propagates through to the uncertainty of the mean DP similarly to how the Field DAS uncertainty associated with a raw voltage propagates through to the uncertainty of the measurement attributable to the Field DAS (Eqs. (7)-(9)).

\[ u_{\text{FDAS}(TT)}(P_{\text{MAX}}) = (u_{V3} \times P_{\text{MAX}}) + O_V \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where:
\[ u_{\text{FDAS(TT)}}(P_{\text{MAX}}) = \text{Field DAS Truth and Trueness uncertainty of } P_{\text{MAX}} \text{ (V)} \]

\[ P_{\text{MAX}} = \text{individual irradiance measurement observed at MAX index (V)} \]

\[ u_{\nu3} = \text{combined, relative, Field DAS Truth and Trueness uncertainty for voltage measurements, provided by CVAL (\%)} \]

\[ O_v = \text{offset imposed by the FDAS for voltage measurements provided by CVAL (V)} \]

Thus, analogous to Eq. (9),

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial ISW_i}{\partial P_i} \bigg|_{P_{\text{MAX}}} & = \text{partial derivative of } ISW_i \text{ with respect to } P_i \text{ (Eq. (8)) evaluated at } P_{\text{MAX}} \text{ (W m}^{-2}) \\
C_1 & = \text{calibration coefficient provided by CVAL (W m}^{-2}) \\
u_{\text{FDAS(TT)}}(ISW) & = \text{Truth and Trueness uncertainty of the mean DP introduced by the Field DAS (W m}^{-2})
\end{align*}
\]

Where:

\[
\frac{\partial ISW_i}{\partial P_i} \bigg|_{P_{\text{MAX}}} = \text{partial derivative of } ISW_i \text{ with respect to } P_i \text{ (Eq. (8)) evaluated at } P_{\text{MAX}} \text{ (W m}^{-2})
\]

6.1.2.4 Combined Uncertainty

The combined uncertainty for our L1 mean incoming solar radiation data product, \( u_c(ISW) \), given in units of W m\(^{-2} \), is computed by summing the uncertainties from Sections 6.1.2.1 through 6.1.2.3 in quadrature:

\[
u_c(ISW) = \left( u_{\text{NAT}}^2(ISW) + u_{\text{CVAL(TT)}}^2(ISW) + u_{\text{FDAS(TT)}}^2(ISW) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{18}
\]

6.1.2.5 Expanded Uncertainty

To derive an expanded measurement uncertainty for our L1 mean DP, the effective degrees of freedom must be computed:
\[ V_{\text{eff}}_{\text{ISW}} = u_c^4(\text{ISW}) / u_{\text{NAT}}^4(\text{ISW}) + u_c^4(\text{CVAL}(TT)) / V_{\text{eff}}_{A3} + u_c^4(\text{FDAS(TT)}(\text{ISW}) / V_{\text{eff}}_{V3} \]  

(19)

Where:

- \( V_{\text{eff}}_{\text{ISW}} \) = effective degrees of freedom relating to quantification of the L1, mean, data product uncertainty (unitless)
- \( V_{\text{eff}}_{A3} \) = effective degrees of freedom relating to quantification of sensor calibration uncertainty (not including repeatability or sensor variation amongst a population of sensors); provided by CVAL in AD[12] (unitless)
- \( V_{\text{eff}}_{V3} \) = effective degrees of freedom relating to quantification of field DAS uncertainty for voltage measurements (not including repeatability or variation amongst a population of DASs); provided by CVAL in AD[12] (unitless)

Next, the expanded uncertainty is calculated:

\[ U_{95}(\text{ISW}) = k_{95,V_{\text{eff}}_{\text{ISW}}} \times u_c(\text{ISW}) \]  

(20)

Where:

- \( U_{95}(\text{ISW}) \) = expanded L1 mean data product uncertainty at 95% confidence (W m\(^{-2}\))
- \( k_{95,V_{\text{eff}}_{\text{ISW}}} \) = coverage factor obtained with the aid of Table 5 in AD[10] (unitless)

### 6.1.3 Uncertainty Budget

The uncertainty budget is a visual aid detailing i) quantifiable sources of uncertainty, ii) means by which they are derived, and iii) the order of their propagation. Uncertainty values denoted in this budget are either derived within this document or are provided by other NEON teams (e.g., CVAL), and stored in the CI data store.

**Table 5.** Uncertainty budget for an individual insolation measurement. Shaded rows denote the order of uncertainty propagation (from lightest to darkest).
Table 6. Uncertainty budget for L1 mean insolation measurements. Shaded rows denote the order of uncertainty propagation (from lightest to darkest).

| Source of measurement uncertainty | Uncertainty component $u(x_i)$ | Uncertainty value | $\frac{df}{dx_i}$ | $u_x(Y) \equiv \left| \frac{df}{dx_i} \right| u(x_i)$ | Degrees of Freedom |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1 Hz ISW                          | $u_c(ISW_i)$                     | Eq. (10) [W m$^{-2}$] | n/a               | n/a                                           | Eq. (11)          |
| Sensor/calibration                | $u_{CV,AL}(ISW_i)$              | Eq. (6) [W m$^{-2}$] | 1                 | Eq. (6)                                       | $V_{eff_A1}$      |
| Field DAS                         | $u_{FDAS}(ISW_i)$               | Eq. (7) [V]        | Eq. (8)           | Eq. (9)                                       | $V_{eff_V1}$      |
|                                   |                                 |                   |                   |                                               |                   |

7 FUTURE PLANS AND MODIFICATIONS

Future system flags may be incorporated into the data stream and included in the QA/QC summary DP ($Qsum_{1min}$ and $Qsum_{30min}$) that summarizes any flagged data that went into the computation of the L1 DP.

Details concerning the evaluation and quantification of Sensor and Field DAS drift will be added to the uncertainty section.
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