



<i>Title:</i> NEON User Guide to Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP1.20107.001)	<i>Date:</i> 12/23/2020
<i>Author:</i> Dylan Monahan	<i>Revision:</i> B

NEON USER GUIDE TO FISH ELECTROFISHING, GILL NETTING, AND FYKE NETTING COUNTS (DP1.20107.001)

PREPARED BY	ORGANIZATION
Dylan Monahan	AQU
Brandon Jensen	AQU
Stephanie Parker	AQU
Caren Scott	AQU



<i>Title:</i> NEON User Guide to Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP1.20107.001)	<i>Date:</i> 12/23/2020
<i>Author:</i> Dylan Monahan	<i>Revision:</i> B

CHANGE RECORD

REVISION	DATE	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGE
A	11/08/2017	Initial Release
B	10/26/2020	Included general statement about usage of neonUtilities R package and statement about possible location changes. Updated taxonomy information. Changes captured revB include the addition of macroinvertebrate bycatch data collection during fish sampling at D04. Also included in revB is the addition of methodology allowing for the identification of fish caught above and below permanent fish barriers that bisect fish sampling transects at MCDI and TECR.



<i>Title:</i> NEON User Guide to Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP1.20107.001)	<i>Date:</i> 12/23/2020
<i>Author:</i> Dylan Monahan	<i>Revision:</i> B

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 DESCRIPTION	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 Scope	1
2 RELATED DOCUMENTS	2
2.1 Associated Documents	2
3 DATA PRODUCT DESCRIPTION	3
3.1 Spatial Sampling Design	4
3.2 Temporal Sampling Design	5
3.3 Sampling Design Changes	6
3.4 Variables Reported	6
3.5 Spatial Resolution and Extent	6
3.6 Temporal Resolution and Extent	7
3.7 Associated Data Streams	7
3.8 Product Instances	7
3.9 Data Relationships	7
4 TAXONOMY	8
4.1 Fish Taxonomy	9
4.2 Macroinvertebrate Taxonomy	9
5 DATA QUALITY	9
5.1 Data Entry Constraint and Validation	9
5.2 Automated Data Processing Steps	10
5.3 Data Revision	10
5.4 Quality Flagging	10
6 REFERENCES	11

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1	Descriptions of the dataQF codes for quality flagging	10
Figure 1	Generic aquatic site layouts (wadeable streams, and lakes) with fish sampling locations in red.	5

<i>Title:</i> NEON User Guide to Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP1.20107.001)	<i>Date:</i> 12/23/2020
<i>Author:</i> Dylan Monahan	<i>Revision:</i> B

1 DESCRIPTION

1.1 Purpose

This document provides an overview of the data included in this NEON Level 1 data product, the quality controlled product generated from raw Level 0 data, and associated metadata. In the NEON data products framework, the raw data collected in the field, for example, fish length and weight measurements, are considered the lowest level (Level 0). Raw data that have been quality checked via the steps detailed herein, as well as simple metrics that emerge from the raw data are considered Level 1 data products.

The text herein provides a discussion of measurement theory and implementation, data product provenance, quality assurance and control methods used, and approximations and/or assumptions made during L1 data creation.

1.2 Scope

This document describes the steps needed to generate the L1 data product Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts and associated metadata from input data. This document also provides details relevant to the publication of the data products via the NEON data portal, with additional detail available in the file, NEON Data Variables for Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP1.20107.001) (AD[05]), provided in the download package for this data product.

This document describes the process for ingesting and performing automated quality assurance and control procedures on the data collected in the field pertaining to AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Wadeable Streams (AD[07]) and AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Lakes (AD[08]). The raw data that are processed in this document are detailed in the file, NEON Raw Data Validation for Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP0.20107.001) (AD[04]), provided in the download package for this data product. Please note that raw data products (denoted by 'DP0') may not always have the same numbers (e.g., '20107') as the corresponding L1 data product.

2 RELATED DOCUMENTS

2.1 Associated Documents

AD[01]	NEON.DOC.000001	NEON Observatory Design (NOD) Requirements
AD[02]	NEON.DOC.001152	NEON Aquatic Sampling Strategy
AD[03]	NEON.DOC.002652	NEON Data Products Catalog
AD[04]	Available with data download	Validation csv
AD[05]	Available with data download	Variables csv
AD[06]	NEON.DOC.001152	NEON Aquatic Sampling Strategy
AD[07]	NEON.DOC.001295	AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Wadeable Streams
AD[08]	NEON.DOC.001296	AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Lakes
AD[09]	NEON.DOC.000008	NEON Acronym List
AD[10]	NEON.DOC.000243	NEON Glossary of Terms
AD[11]	NEON.DOC.004825	NEON Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document: OS Generic Transitions
AD[12]	Available on NEON data portal	NEON Ingest Conversion Language Function Library
AD[13]	Available on NEON data portal	NEON Ingest Conversion Language
AD[14]	Available with data download	Categorical Codes csv

3 DATA PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

Data products from fish sampling (electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting) include information on fish taxonomy, abundance, morphometrics, and community structure in streams and lakes. Each individual fish captured during fish sampling is identified to the lowest common taxonomic level possible. A minimum of 50 fish per taxon/per reach are weighed to 0.1 grams (with any fish below 0.5 grams recorded as weighing 0.3 to account for the scales minimum detection range), and total length is measured in millimeters. Also recorded for the first 50 fish per species/per reach: life stage (if it can be determined in the field) and the presences or absence of deformities, eroded fins, lesions, tumors, and parasites. Voucher specimens and DNA Fin clip samples may also be collected.

After the first 50 individuals of a species/per reach are weighed and measured, the remaining specimens of that species are bulk counted and tallied, either by actually counting each individual or estimating in cases where hundreds or more fish are captured. Bulk fish are identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible, and tallied, no other data is collected for bulk species.

All fish specimens must have a taxon assigned to it. For fish who species assignment cannot be determined in the field, field ecologist will assign that individual or group either an Identification Qualifier or a morphospecies designation. Identification Qualifier's are indicators to the data user of the taxonomic level at which the field ecologist is uncertain about, but reserved for species where the NEON field ecologist can narrow the possible identification to one of three species. Table 1, is a list of identification qualifier code and the codes description used by NEON and published in NEON data.

Morphospecies is a designation for individuals and groups that cannot be assigned a species assignment, when the field ecologist determines the potential species is one of more than three possibilities. Morphospecies are named using the following format: the domainID and the site name where the specimen was captured, the year of capture, and the word "Morph" followed by one or more unique letters. For example, "D15.REDB.2014.MorphA". The same letter will be given to all fish with the same morphological traits, in all the succeeding bouts that those morphospecies are captured and require a morphospecies code, only the year will change to reflect the current year in which the morphospecies is caught. When morphospecies identification is resolved to species or the lowest known taxonomic level, the resolution will be recorded in the fsh_morphospecies table. The morphospecies ID will stay with the original record but can be corrected by the user using the morphospecies identification in the fsh_morphospecies table .

For each sampling event total button seconds of electrofishing, and total net set time for fyke and gill nets is recorded. These data can be used to calculate relative abundance.

At NEON's D04 Rio Cupeyes and Rio Guilarte invertebrate bycatch caught during electrofishing is tallied, recorded, and identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level.

Fishes are sampled two times per year at each NEON aquatic site (AD[06]). Sampling dates are based on a combination of variables, including hydrology in streams or ice on/ice off dates in lakes, accumulated degree days (temperature), and riparian greenness (phenology). Measurements are collected by field personnel employing various sampling techniques depending on the aquatic system being studied. For additional information see sampling design NEON Aquatic Sampling Strategy (AD[06]) and protocols AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Wadeable Streams (AD[07]) and AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Lakes (AD[08]) .

<i>Title:</i> NEON User Guide to Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP1.20107.001)	<i>Date:</i> 12/23/2020
<i>Author:</i> Dylan Monahan	<i>Revision:</i> B

3.1 Spatial Sampling Design

Fish sampling at NEON wadeable stream aquatic sites (Figure 1) is conducted seasonally in six of ten non-overlapping, 80-120 meters permanently established fish sampling reaches nested in the larger 1km NEON sampling reach (NOTE: some NEON sites may be less than 1 km due to permitting restrictions). Three of the ten reaches are designated as “fixed” reaches, and sampled twice each year using a three-pass electrofishing depletion method (Moulton et al. 2002 and Peck et al. 2006). The remaining seven reaches are designated as “random” reaches, which are sampled following a rotating pattern to ensure that the variety of habitat types are sampled equally over time. Specifically, three random reaches at each site are sampled twice in any given year then a new set of three random reaches are selected and sampled in each subsequent year. Random reaches are sampled via a single-pass depletion approach. See AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Wadeable Streams (AD[07]) for additional details on sampling strategy and SOPs.

Fish sampling at NEON lake aquatic sites (Figure 1) include up to ten individual segments delineated within the lake and including both nearshore and offshore fish populations to estimate species composition, species diversity, relative abundance, and an indication of the distribution of species within the NEON lake. Lake fish sampling segments occur between two riparian transects and converge at the approximate center of the lake (Figure 1). From these ten fish sampling segments, three permanent “fixed” segments were selected prior to the first sampling bout at the site. The fixed segments are sampled twice per year with a backpack electrofisher using a three-pass electrofishing depletion approach (Moulton et al. 2002 and Peck et al. 2006). Additionally, each fish sampling segment reach includes one mini-fyke net set and one gill net set (Baker et al. 1997). The remaining seven lake segments are established as “random” segments and sampled following a rotating design to ensure that the variety of habitat types are sampled equally over time. A rotating sampling design with initial random selection of shoreline segments ensures appropriate spatial coverage of habitat types within the lake (Baker et al. 1997). The same random segments are sampled in spring and fall of a given year. Random segments are sampled with a single electrofishing pass (without block nets), one mini-fyke net set, and one gill net set. See AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Lakes (AD[08]) for additional details on sampling strategy and SOPs.

As much as possible, sampling occurs in the same locations over the lifetime of the Observatory. However, over time some sampling locations may become impossible to sample, due to disturbance or other local changes. When this occurs, the location and its location ID are retired. A location may also shift to slightly different coordinates. Refer to the locations endpoint of the NEON API for details about locations that have been moved or retired: <https://data.neonscience.org/data-api/endpoints/locations/>




Figure 1: Generic aquatic site layouts (wadeable streams, and lakes) with fish sampling locations in red.

3.2 Temporal Sampling Design

Fish sampling occurs two times per year corresponding with the first and third sampling bout windows (roughly spring and autumn) at wadeable stream and lake sites, with a minimum of two weeks between sampling bouts. The initial sample timing is determined for each site using historical data including ice-out, water temperature (or accumulated degree days), and riparian peak greenness. Sample timing will be refined on a site-by-site basis by NEON Science using NEON aquatic sensors and aquatic observation data. Sampling bouts are targeted to be completed within 5 days. All three depletion passes in a fixed sampling segment must be sampled within the same day, with at least 30 minutes between passes to allow fish to resettle in the reach. Weather conditions at the site may push sampling outside of the bout window. See AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Wadeable Streams (AD[07]) and AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Lakes (AD[08]) for additional details on sampling strategy and SOPs.

Lake fish assemblage characterization requires multiple sampling methods that are optimal for sampling fish at different times of the day. Electrofishing occurs at night, starting 30 minutes after sunset and ceasing 30 minutes before sunrise (or during lowest-light hours at Arctic sites). Gill nets are set and sampled during daylight hours, with a preferred set time of up to 1 hour and maximum set time of 2 hours. Gill nets are set in the morning or early afternoon to allow for processing time. Mini-fyke nets are set before sunset and allowed to remain in the water until after sunrise the following morning.

Adjustments to the planned temporal sampling design may occur due to heavy rainfall, flooding, or unsafe wading conditions (Lane and Fay 1997). See NEON Aquatic Sampling Strategy (AD[06]), AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Wadeable Streams (AD[07]) and AOS Protocol and Procedure: Fish Sampling in Lakes (AD[08]) for additional details.

At both wadeable stream and lake sites at least one fixed reach must be sampled with all 3 electrofish passes, and at lakes both fyke and gill net sets in order to constitute a completed sampling bout.

3.3 Sampling Design Changes

- Two permanent fish barriers bisecting fish sampling transects have been identified at NEON's Teakettle Creek (TEAK) site in California and one permanent fish barrier bisecting a fish sampling reach has been identified at McDiffett Creek (MCDI) in Kansas. Fish are processed at these three transect so that they can be analyzed by transect or by where (above of below) in regards to the barrier the individual fish sample is captured.
- At lake sites, the pass number assigned to fyke and gill net sets in 2016 and 2017 is pass number 1. Starting in 2018 fyke net sets are numbered pass 4, and gill net sets are numbered pass 5.
- Prior to May 2017, bulk count for an individual species/per transect started after 100 individuals of that taxa were caught on that transect, after May 2017 the number of individuals measured and recorded before bulk count started was reduced to 50 individuals per taxa/per transect, after 50 fish were processed all other fish caught from that taxa on that transect are bulk counted.

3.4 Variables Reported

All variables reported from the field or laboratory technician (L0 data) are listed in the file, NEON Raw Data Validation for Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP0.20107.001) (AD[04]). All variables reported in the published data (L1 data) are also provided separately in the file, NEON Data Variables for Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DP1.20107.001) (AD[05]).

Field names have been standardized with Darwin Core terms (<http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/>; accessed 4 August 2017), the Global Biodiversity Information Facility vocabularies (<http://rs.gbif.org/vocabulary/gbif/>; accessed 16 February 2014), the VegCore data dictionary (<https://projects.nceas.ucsb.edu/nceas/projects/bien/wiki/VegCore>; accessed 16 February 2014), where applicable. NEON Aquatic Observation System (AOS) spatial data employs the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84) for its fundamental reference datum and Earth Gravitational Model 96 (EGM96) for its reference gravitational ellipsoid. Latitudes and longitudes are denoted in decimal notation to six decimal places, with longitudes indicated as negative west of the Greenwich meridian.

Some variables described in this document may be for NEON internal use only and will not appear in downloaded data.

3.5 Spatial Resolution and Extent

The finest resolution at which spatial data are reported is a single fish sampling reach or segment (Figure 1). At wadeable stream sites, ten consecutive fish sampling reaches (80-120 m) are established throughout the 1 km aquatic biological sampling reach. Lake fish sampling segments occur between two riparian transects and converge at the approximate center of the lake (Figure 1). The exact location (latitude and longitude) of each sample collected is not tracked as it is intended to represent the overall habitat at the reach (wadeable streams) or segment (lakes) level. The **locationID** reported in wadeable streams represents the fish reach locations within the NEON aquatic reach. The **locationID** reported in

the lakes represents the segment locations within NEON lake sites. Sampling locations are tracked by latitude and longitude and include an indication of **coordinateUncertainty**.

Overall, this results in a spatial hierarchy of:

locationID (finest spatial resolution, ID of location within site) -> siteID (ID of NEON site) -> domainID (ID of a NEON domain)

3.6 Temporal Resolution and Extent

The finest resolution at which temporal data are reported is per **eventID** (a single sampling pass at a single reach or segment), where both a **passStartTime** and **passEndTime** are reported.

The NEON Data Portal provides data in monthly files for query and download efficiency. Queries including any part of a month will return data from the entire month. Code to stack files across months is available here: <https://github.com/NEONScience/NEON-utilities>

3.7 Associated Data Streams

The **dnaSampleID** in the `fsh_perFish` table is a linking variable that can be used to tie specific samples and associated metadata to the Fish sequences DNA barcode (DP1.20105.001) product.

Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts data are also loosely related to Aquatic General Field Metadata collected on the same sampling day (NEON.DOC.001646). Data for Aquatic General Field Metadata are available in the NEON data product "Gauge Height" (DP1.20267.001). These data products are linked through the **siteID** and date fields.

3.8 Product Instances

At each aquatic site, there will be up to 2 fish sampling bouts per year during which 6 reaches or segments are sampled, generating a maximum of 12 records in `fsh_fieldData` per site per year. Each reach/segment is sampled using up to 5 passes during each bout, yielding up to 60 records in `fsh_perPass` per site per year. Up to ~50 `fsh_perFish` records are expected per `taxonID`, per site, per pass, per year, with the remainder of fish enumerated by bulk counting. As a result, the number of instances of `fsh_perFish` and `fsh_bulkCount` varies with the diversity and abundance of the site.

3.9 Data Relationships

The protocol dictates that reach is sampled within a single day of year (local time), yielding a unique **reachID**. A record from `fsh_fieldData` may have zero (if sampling is impractical; e.g. the location is dry, ice-covered, etc) or up to 5 child records in `fsh_perPass`, depending on whether the reach is being sampled using multiple electrofishing passes, and/or multiple sampler types. Each record from `fsh_perPass`, with a unique `eventID`, may have zero (if **targetTaxaPresent** = 'No') or up to ~50 child records per `taxonID` in `fsh_perFish` and 0 or multiple child records in `fsh_bulkCount`, depending on the taxonomic diversity and abundance at the site. Duplicates and/or missing data may exist where protocol and/or data entry aberrations have occurred; users should check data carefully for anomalies before joining tables.

fsh_fieldData.csv - > One record is created for each sampling activity per reach, creating a **reachID** which is linked to the fsh_perPass table. This table also indicates the reach conditions **reachCondition**, the length of the reach **measuredReachLength**, if it is a fixed or random reach **fixedRandomReach**, and the habitat type **habitatType**.

fsh_perPass.csv - > One record is created for each pass **passNumber** for each **reachID**. There may be up to 3 electrofishing passes for fixed reaches/segments and just one for random reaches/segments at wade-able stream and lake sites. One record for gill net and mini-fyke net efforts are created at the pass level per reach or segment. This table includes water quality measurements and other metadata about the sampling pass. Data from this table are linked to the fieldData through the **reachID**.

fsh_perFish.csv - > One record is created for each individually-measured fish collected. For fish, taxonomic identifications are made to the lowest practical taxonomic level (typically genus or species). Data are linked to the fsh_perPass table through the **eventID** in each table. Records in this table are unique by the combination of **specimenNumber** and **eventID**.

fsh_bulkCount.csv - > One record is created for each bulk count of fish collected in the field. These data are linked to the fsh_perPass through the **eventID** in each table.

fsh_morphospecies.csv - > One record is created for each individual or group of fish that are morphologically similar but technicians are unable to identify. Technicians are instructed to use the same unique name for each morphospecies type, but change the year in the ID to reflect the year of capture (**morphospeciesID**). Thus, these data may be linked to the fsh_perFish and fsh_bulkCount through the **morphoSpeciesID** and dates. Not all morphospecies are subsequently resolved to a formal taxonomic designation; so **morphospeciesID** values in fsh_perFish and fsh_bulkCount may lack corresponding records in fsh_morphospecies.

fsh_invertBycatch.csv - > At D04 One record is created for each bulk count of macroinvertebrates (e.g., shrimp) captured as bycatch during fishing. These data can be linked to fish data through eventID and passNumber.

Data downloaded from the NEON Data Portal are provided in separate data files for each site and month requested. The neonUtilities R package contains functions to merge these files across sites and months into a single file for each table described above. The neonUtilities package is available from the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN; <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/neonUtilities/index.html>) and can be installed using the install.packages() function in R. For instructions on using neonUtilities to merge NEON data files, see the Download and Explore NEON Data tutorial on the NEON website: <https://www.neonscience.org/download-explore-neon-data>

4 TAXONOMY

NEON manages taxonomic entries by maintaining a master taxonomy list based on the community standard, if one exists. Through the master taxonomy list, synonyms submitted in the data are converted to the appropriate name in use by the standard. The master taxonomy list also indicates the expected geographic distribution for each species by NEON domain and whether it is known to be introduced or native in that part of the range.

The full master taxonomy lists are available on the NEON Data Portal for browsing and download:<http://data.neonscience.org/static/taxon.html>.

4.1 Fish Taxonomy

The master taxonomy for fishes is derived from Froese and Pauly (2016) and the Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS) on-line database (<http://www.itis.gov>). Taxon ID codes used to identify taxonomic concepts in the NEON master taxonomy list were generated for each fish by concatenating the first three letters of the genus name together with the first three letters of the species name to make a unique taxon ID for each scientific name. Where such concatenation would produce duplicate taxon ID codes, numbers were appended to the taxon ID until it was unique within the NEON database. For species in which the lowest possible taxonomic level identification is higher than species the first three letter of that lowest taxonomic level are used followed by SP or SPP (e.g. the code for *Fundulus* sp. is FUNSP). NEON plans to keep the taxonomy updated in accordance with the current literature starting in 2020 and annually thereafter.

Errors are generated if a species is reported at a location outside of its known range. If the record proves to be a reliable report, the master taxonomy table is updated to reflect the distribution change. Geographic ranges and native statuses used in this data product are from Froese and Pauly (2016) and the Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS) on-line database (<http://www.itis.gov>).

4.2 Macroinvertebrate Taxonomy

The master taxonomy for macroinvertebrates and zooplankton was originally based on comprehensive taxonomy lists provided by expert taxonomy labs (EcoAnalysts, Inc. and GEI Consultants, Inc.) that were cross-referenced with taxonomic concepts from the Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS, [itis.gov](http://www.itis.gov)) or Catalogue of Life (www.catalogueoflife.org) databases. Unique Taxon ID codes used to identify taxonomic concepts in the NEON master taxonomy list were generated for each taxon by concatenating the first three letters of the genus name together with the first three letters of the specific epithet to make a unique taxon ID for each scientific name. The list includes a variety of macroinvertebrate taxa, including mollusks, snails, worms, insects, mites, and crustaceans. NEON plans to keep the taxonomy updated in accordance with Merritt et al. (2019) and other current literature starting in 2020 and annually thereafter.

Given that the spatial distributions of many aquatic macroinvertebrate taxa are not well known, NEON assumes that all taxa are possible at all aquatic sites. As spatial resolution of distribution maps improves, NEON will update the taxon tables to generate errors if a species is reported at a location outside of its known range.

5 DATA QUALITY

5.1 Data Entry Constraint and Validation

Many quality control measures are implemented at the point of data entry within a mobile data entry application or web user interface (UI). For example, data formats are constrained and data values controlled

through the provision of dropdown options, which reduces the number of processing steps necessary to prepare the raw data for publication. An additional set of constraints are implemented during the process of ingest into the NEON database. The product-specific data constraint and validation requirements built into data entry applications and database ingest are described in the document NEON Raw Data Validation for Fish electrofishing, gill netting, and fyke netting counts (DPO.20107.001), provided with every download of this data product. Contained within this file is a field named ‘entryValidationRulesForm’, which describes syntactically the validation rules for each field built into the data entry application. Data entry constraints are described in NiCl syntax in the validation file provided with every data download, and the NiCl language is described in NEON’s Ingest Conversion Language (NICL) specifications ([AD[12]]).

Data collected prior to 2017 were processed using a paper-based workflow that did not implement the full suite of quality control features associated with the interactive digital workflow.

5.2 Automated Data Processing Steps

Following data entry into a mobile application or web user interface, the steps used to process the data through to publication on the NEON Data Portal are detailed in the NEON Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document: OS Generic Transitions (AD[11]).

5.3 Data Revision

All data are provisional until a numbered version is released; the first release of a static version of NEON data, annotated with a globally unique identifier, is planned to take place in 2020. During the provisional period, QA/QC is an active process, as opposed to a discrete activity performed once, and records are updated on a rolling basis as a result of scheduled tests or feedback from data users. The Change Log section of the data product readme, provided with every data download, contains a history of major known errors and revisions.

5.4 Quality Flagging

The **dataQF** field in each data record is a quality flag for known errors applying to the record. Please see the below for an explanation of **dataQF** codes specific to this product.

Table 1: Descriptions of the dataQF codes for quality flagging

fieldName	value	definition
dataQF	legacyData	Data recorded using a paper-based workflow that did not implement the full suite of quality control features associated with the interactive digital workflow

Records of land management activities, disturbances, and other incidents of ecological note that may have a potential impact are found in the Site Management and Event Reporting data product (DP1.10111.001)

6 REFERENCES

Baker, J. R., D. V. Peck, and D. W. Sutton (editors). 1997. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program Surface Waters: field operations manual for lakes. EPA/620/R-97/001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington D.C.

Froese, R. and D. Pauly. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. (<https://www.fishbase.de/home.htm>) 10/2016

Lane, S .L. and R. G. Fay. 1997. Safety in field activities: U.S. Geological Survey techniques of water-resources investigations, Book 9, Chapter A9. Accessed 11 April 2013, at <http://pubs.water.usgs.gov/twri9A9/>.

Merritt, R. W., K. W. Cummins, and M. B. Berg. 2019. An Introduction to the Aquatic Insects of North America, 5th Edition. Kendall Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque, Iowa. 1480 pp.

Moulton, S. R., J. G. Kennen, R. M. Goldstein, and J. A. Hambrook. 2002. Revised protocols for sampling algal, invertebrate, and fish communities as part of the national water-quality assessment program. Open-file report 02-150, Reston, Virginia.

Peck, D. V., A. T. Herlihy, B. H. Hill, R. M. Hughes, P. R. Kaufmann, D. J. Klemm, J. M. Lazorchak, F. H. McCormick, S. A. Peterson, P. L. Ringold, T. Magee, and M. R. Cappaert. 2006. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program-Surface Waters: Western pilot study field operations manual for wadeable streams. EPA/620/R-06/003. USEPA. Washington, DC.