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1 DESCRIPTION

1.1 Purpose

NEON design documents are required to define the scientific strategy leading to high-level protocols for NEON subsystem components, linking NEON Grand Challenges and science questions to specific measurements. Many NEON in situ measurements can be made in specific ways to enable continental-scale science rather than in ways that limit their use to more local or ecosystem-specific questions. NEON strives to make measurements in ways that enable continental-scale science to address the Grand Challenges. Design Documents flow from questions and goals defined in the NEON Science Strategy document, and inform the more detailed procedures described in Level 0 (L0; raw data) protocol and procedure documents, algorithm specifications, and Calibration/Validation (CalVal) and maintenance plans.

1.2 Scope

This document defines the rationale and requirements for terrestrial microbial diversity in the NEON Science Design.

1.3 Acknowledgments

The design of the terrestrial microbial diversity sampling for NEON described herein is the result of invaluable input from the Terrestrial Microbial Technical Working Group, including Rachel Gallery (University of Arizona), Kathryn Docherty (Western Michigan University), Greg Caporaso (Northern Arizona University), Gary King (Louisiana State University), James M. Tiedje (Michigan State University), Eric Triplett (University of Florida), Lydia Zeglin (Kansas State University), Linda Kinkel (University of Minnesota), Dianne Nemergut (University of Colorado), Chris Blackwood (Kent State University), Noah Fierer (University of Colorado), and Michael Allen (University of California, Riverside).

2 RELATED DOCUMENTS AND ACRONYMS

2.1 Applicable Documents

Applicable documents contain information that shall be applied in the current document. Examples are higher level requirements documents, standards, rules and regulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AD[01]</th>
<th>NEON.DOC.000001</th>
<th>NEON Observatory Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD[02]</td>
<td>NEON.DOC.001282</td>
<td>Introduction to the TOS Science Designs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD[03]</td>
<td>NEON.DOC.000913</td>
<td>TOS Science Design for Spatial Sampling Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD[04]</td>
<td>NEON.DOC.005003</td>
<td>NEON Scientific Data Products Catalog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD[05]</td>
<td>NEON.DOC.000906</td>
<td>TOS Science Design for Terrestrial Biogeochemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD[06]</td>
<td>NEON.DOC.000914</td>
<td>TOS Science Design for Plant Biomass and Productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD[07]</td>
<td>NEON.DOC.014048</td>
<td>TOS Protocol and Procedure: Soil Chemistry and Microbes Sampling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2 Reference Documents

Reference documents contain information complementing, explaining, detailing, or otherwise supporting the information included in the current document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RD [01]</th>
<th>NEON.DOC.000008</th>
<th>NEON Acronym List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RD [02]</td>
<td>NEON.DOC.000243</td>
<td>NEON Glossary of Terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD [03]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD [04]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 External References

External references contain information pertinent to this document, but are not NEON configuration-controlled. Examples include manuals, brochures, technical notes, and external websites.

| ER [01] |                   |
|---------|                   |
| ER [02] |                   |
| ER [03] |                   |

2.4 Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLFA</td>
<td>Phospholipid Fatty Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>Messenger Ribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rRNA</td>
<td>Ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>Internal Transcribed Spacer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>Base Pairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>Cation Exchange Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>Organic Matter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3  INTRODUCTION

3.1  Overview of the Observatory

The National Ecological Observatory Network (NEON) is a continental-scale ecological observation platform for understanding and forecasting the impacts of climate change, land use change, and invasive species on ecology. NEON is designed to enable users, including scientists, planners and policy makers, educators, and the general public, to address the major areas in environmental sciences, known as the Grand Challenges (Figure 1). NEON infrastructure and data products are strategically aimed at those aspects of the Grand Challenges for which a coordinated national program of standardized observations and experiments is particularly effective. The open access approach to the Observatory’s data and information products will enable users to explore NEON data in order to map, understand, and predict the effects of humans on the earth and understand and effectively address critical ecological questions and issues. Detailed information on the NEON design can be found in AD[01], AD[02].

![NEON Grand Challenges](image)

**Figure 1.** The seven Grand Challenges defined by the National Research Council (2001)

3.2  Components of the Observatory

There are five components of the Observatory, the Airborne Observation Platform (AOP), Terrestrial Instrument System (TIS), Aquatic Observation System (AOS), Aquatic Instrument System (AIS), and Terrestrial Observation System (TOS). Collocation of measurements associated with each of these components will allow for linkage and comparison of data products. For example, remote sensing data provided by the Airborne Observation Platform (AOP) will link diversity and productivity data collected on individual plants and stands by the Terrestrial Observation System (TOS) and flux data captured by instruments on the tower (TIS) to that of satellite-based remote sensing. For additional information on these systems, see Schimel et al. 2007, Keller et al. 2008.
3.3 The Terrestrial Observation System (TOS)

The NEON TOS will quantify the impacts of climate change, land use, and biological invasions on terrestrial populations and processes by sampling key groups of organisms (sentinel taxa), infectious disease, soil, and nutrient fluxes across system interfaces (air, land, and water) (AD[01], AD[02]). The sentinel taxa were selected to include organisms with varying life spans and generation times, and wide geographic distributions to allow for standardized comparisons across the continent. Many of the biological measurements will enable inference at regional and continental scales using statistical or process-based modeling approaches. The TOS sampling design captures heterogeneity representative of each site to facilitate this inference when possible. Plot and organism-scale measurements will also be coordinated with the larger-scale airborne measurements, which provide a set of synergistic biological data products at the regional scale. Details of these design elements and algorithms can be found in individual design documents available through the NEON website (www.NEONinc.org).

The standardization of protocols across all sites is key to the success of NEON (and its novelty) and must be maintained at all sites through time. Thus, although specific techniques may be required at some sites (e.g., due to different vegetation types), protocols have been developed to ensure data comparability. These details can also be found in individual design documents available through the NEON website (www.NEONinc.org).

The TOS Science Designs define the scientific strategies leading to high-level sampling designs for NEON sentinel taxa, terrestrial biogeochemistry, and infectious disease, linking NEON Grand Challenges and science questions to specific measurements (AD[02]). The TOS Spatial Sampling Design document describes the sampling design that collocates observations of the components of the TOS (AD[03]). TOS Science Design documents were developed following input from the scientific community, including module-specific Technical Working Groups, and the National Science Foundation (AD[02]). Science Designs will be reviewed periodically to ensure that the data collected by NEON are those best suited to meet the requirements of the observatory (AD[01]), are (to the extent possible) consistent with standards used by the scientific community, and fit within the scope of NEON. Additional information on the development and review process can be found in AD[02].
INTRODUCTION TO THE TERRESTRIAL MICROBIAL DIVERSITY SAMPLING DESIGN

4.1 Background

Microorganisms are critical drivers of biogeochemical processes that influence global climate, water quality, and atmospheric composition (Vitousek et al., 1997; Canadell et al., 2007; Galloway et al., 2008; Gilbert 2009; Conley et al., 2009). Shifts in microbial assemblages in response to environmental change will potentially affect the biogeochemical cycles they mediate (Allison & Martiny 2008). Since most biogeochemical cycles are interconnected in a complex network of feedback relationships (Bardgett et al., 2008; Falkowski et al., 2008; Finzi et al., 2011), changes in microbial communities can have profound impacts on ecosystem services.

Although microorganisms play a key role in response to global change, most ecosystem models consign microbial inputs to ‘black box’ status (Andren & Balandreau, 1999) where inputs and outputs are based on rate equations with little consideration for spatiotemporal community dynamics and actual function (Docherty & Gutknecht 2011; Todd-Brown et al., 2011; Treseder et al., 2011). Current global biogeochemical models are generally based on microbial processes that have been measured from microorganisms in equilibrium (Schimel 2001), but models that consider seasonal transitions, plant invasions, climate change, and land-use management by definition include dynamic microbial assemblages.

In the past decade, transcendent studies begin to provide keys to unlock the ‘black box’ of microbial ecology. Localized studies of microbial community dynamics clarify the physiological mechanics associated with ecosystem services (Nemergut et al., 2005; Parnell et al., in review; Yergeau et al., 2009). Meanwhile regional- to continental-scale studies provide information on grand events that could have impacts at multiple scales (Dinsdale et al., 2008; Lozupone & Knight 2007; Wittebolle et al., 2009), but for which the spatial and temporal resolution within microbial assemblages does not exist. Similarly, short-term explorations provide clues to ecosystem dynamics (Bardgett et al., 2005), but are unable to monitor the impact of long-term oscillations, while long term monitoring (Ramirez et al., 2010; Aber & Magill 2004), which is generally at lower temporal resolution, fails to capture episodic, rare events that yield important information about ecosystem stability in response to short-term changes.

In order to refine the role of microbial community dynamics in ecosystem models, biodiversity metrics that link structure (richness, evenness and diversity) to function (both potential and active) need to be developed in the context of appropriate spatial—ranging from local to continental—and temporal—seasonal to decadal—scales (Raes & Bork 2008).
4.2 NEON’s Contribution

The recent establishment of the National Ecological Observatory Network (NEON) provides a platform to consider the role of microorganisms in ecosystem processes that combines standardized long-term seasonal monitoring at dozens of sites dispersed in different ecological regions across the continental United States, including Hawaii, Alaska, and Puerto Rico. In total, standardized and coordinated measurements will occur at 20 core sites that will remain for the duration of the project (30 years), and at least 40 relocatable sites that are intended to measure local ecological processes and phenomena (5-10 year duration). The goals of NEON are to monitor ecological change with respect to invasive species, and changes in land use and climate, provide a publicly accessible database of ecological measurements, and provide a basis to facilitate advanced ecological research projects. As part of NEON’s open access policy, physical samples collected over the life of the observatory will be archived and made available for additional analyses. Extensive long-term coordinated ecosystem monitoring will allow investigators to understand and forecast patterns of ecological change at local, regional, and continental scales (Kao et al., 2012).

The structure of NEON’s microbial ecology program is designed to provide data highlighting within-site and between-site changes in microbial communities. This strategy rests on two principal design elements: 1) how microbial communities change, and 2) how those changes can be observed. In order to address the first element, previous work demonstrating that microbial communities respond to change through succession, adaptation, and shifts in abundance of functionally redundant groups (Allison & Martiny 2008). The second element is addressed through measurements of microbial biodiversity that reflect different types of responses (Figure 6; Raes & Bork 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbial community</th>
<th>How community changes</th>
<th>NEON’s proposed measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community composition changes will be detected via:</td>
<td>Changes in genes within the community (evolve, transfer) will be detected via:</td>
<td>Microbial Diversity (16S and ITS; annually)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in functional roles within the community will be detected via:</td>
<td>Soil Metagenomics (shotgun sequencing; 3-5 y)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil mRNA Seq (shotgun sequencing; 3X y⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. How environmental change can affect microbial assemblages and thereby alter ecosystem processes
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As environmental conditions change over the next few decades due to altered climate, land-use practices, invasive species, or other local events such as wild fire or extreme weather, the multiple dimensions of microbial biodiversity analyzed in conjunction with other biotic and abiotic measurements will provide improved understanding of microbial assemblage function in ecosystems biology. The dominant factors that affect microbial biodiversity can be summarized by soil biogeochemistry and vegetation type (Van Der Heijden et al., 2008; Harris, 2009). Consequently, microbial assemblage structure and function data will be collected in coordination with soil biogeochemistry measurements and closely monitored in the context of vegetation and plant productivity. As proxies of microbial assemblage structure (Fuhrman et al., 2006), environmental conditions such as pH, vegetation type, soil moisture, etc. can be determined from a larger scale context using aerial imaging (Lefsky et al., 2002). Thus suites of measurements describing soil properties collected throughout NEON will help to model microbial assemblages on a continental scale. By collecting measures of microbial biodiversity (assemblage structure and function) in conjunction with soil biogeochemistry, plant productivity, and a suite of environmental measurements, NEON data will provide keys to understanding the dynamics of microbial assemblages in a changing environment and their influence on ecosystem processes.

4.3 Purpose and Scope

This document discusses the sampling scheme and underlying design strategies and rationale associated with NEON’s soil microbial ecology plan. This document includes a brief discussion of the framework of measurements to be collected followed by a description of sampling strategies and then provides detailed information on methodology.

5 SAMPLING FRAMEWORK

NEON’s priority for measurement methods aligns with strategies of other affiliate organizations (e.g., Earth Microbiome Project, Terragenome Project, Global Ocean Survey). Specifically, nucleic acid extraction procedures and minimal information of metadata associated with soil samples collected for microbial assemblage analyses must be standardized (Yilmaz et al., 2011). Specific lists of information associated with genomes, metagenomes, and marker gene pyrosequencing can be found at http://gensc.org/gc_wiki/index.php/MIxS_Compliance. Second, co-location of microbial sampling with other ecological and abiotic measurements, specifically plant productivity AD[06] and soil biogeochemistry AD[05], within the observatory will help to shed light on drivers of ecosystem processes and provide points of reference for potential scale-up exercises.

5.1 Science Requirements

This science design is based on Observatory science requirements that reside in NEON’s Dynamic Object-Oriented Requirements System (DOORS). Copies of approved science requirements have been exported from DOORS and are available in NEON’s document repository, or upon request.
5.2 Data Products

Execution of the protocols that stem from this science design procures samples and/or generates raw data satisfying NEON Observatory scientific requirements. These data and samples are used to create NEON data products, and are documented in the NEON Scientific Data Products Catalog (AD[04]).

5.3 Priorities and Challenges for Terrestrial Microbial Diversity

Understanding the geographic turnover or variation in microbial assemblages is crucial to understanding their ecology and evolution. In many cases, the variation in microbial assemblages is principally driven by environmental factors (Bell et al 2005; Fuhrman et al 2006), and the variation occurs at the sub-centimeter (Woyke et al 2006), meter (Parnell et al 2013), and kilometer scale (Whitaker et al 2003; Green & Bohannan 2006; Tringe et al 2005; Rusch et al 2007; DeLong et al 2006). In general, environmental conditions and dispersal limitation cause ecological assemblages to become increasingly different with increased distance (Nekola and White 1999). Although community changes across all distances are important, due to the intensive sampling and long-term nature of NEON, measurements will take place at the meter to decameter as the finest level of resolution.

Rapid advances in sequencing technology and approaches for measuring microbial diversity warrant future evaluations of the science design for measurement methods for microbial diversity.

6 SAMPLING DESIGN FOR TERRESTRIAL MICROBIAL DIVERSITY

6.1 Sampling Design for Terrestrial Microbial Diversity

NEON soil microbial community analytical measurements will be standardized with the aquatic microbial measurements collected in freshwater systems throughout the observatory. NEON will have aquatic sites that include streams and shallow lakes. The sampling design for aquatic microbial ecology is not presented here, but the methods for assessing community diversity (DNA/mRNA extraction, and sequencing) shall be identical.

The microbial sampling strategy consists of a two-phase approach to determine spatiotemporal variation in microbial assemblages. The first phase is an optimization strategy that consists of collecting samples from up to 10-40x40 m distributed and tower plots AD[02] designated using NEONs stratified random design AD[03]. Within each plot, 3 samples will be collected based on random coordinates within the plot as described below (Figure 7). Samples for the first phase will be collected every month for up to two years using the field soil sampling protocol for microbes that is identical to the protocol for soil biogeochemistry save that microbial samples are immediately placed on dry ice following collection (AD[07]). Soil cores will be split, half for biogeochemical analysis and half for microbial assemblage measurements. Once microbial soil samples have been brought back to the domain facility they will either be kept on dry ice or in a -80C freezer until shipped.
Microbial assemblage diversity measurements will be collected on up to 12 sampling periods per year on up to 10 plots consisting of tower and distributed (see AD[03]) during Phase 1, depending on field season and plot access. Phase 1 measurements will include 16S rRNA gene and ITS sequencing, q-PCR, and sequencing of community mRNA. These measurements will provide information on the temporal (seasonal) variation in microbial communities and consist of all measurements that will occur at a rate less than one year. The result of the measurements from this phase will be to collect samples at points throughout the year that maximize seasonal variation. Data from these analyses will be assessed to determine optimal temporal sampling strategies used in Phase 2, the long-term operational phase of the observatory (Figure 3). Once the optimal frequency of sampling for each measurement is determined, the long-term Phase 2 sampling will begin by implementing spatial and temporal scale sampling data based on the first phase and pilot study results discussed below.

The field protocol(s) used by NEON for collecting soil cores to analyze physical properties, biogeochemical constituents, and microbial assemblages follows the protocols presented in the Soil Science Society of America *Methods of Soil Analysis* texts (Sparks et al., 1996; Dane and Topp, 2002). Soils are inherently spatially heterogeneous, and, thus, several samples must be collected per site in order to capture variability at multiple scales (e.g., core, sub-plot, plot, transect, airshed, site). Plot locations in which soil samples will be collected vary for each NEON site; this information will be provided to field personnel as it becomes available. Soil core coordinates will be randomly generated within each plot and will be made available for the field crew to upload to the handheld PDA and/or print to datasheets prior to collection. Field crews will use high-resolution GPS units to locate plots and soil core coordinates will be provided as randomized X, Y-coordinates within the plot. Three sets of coordinates will be provided for each plot for sample collection at each time point. A soil sample consists of three cores collected within .5 m of the given coordinates. If sample coordinates are obstructed, field technicians will move sampling location, note obstruction, and provide coordinates of actual collected sample. The three cores per sampling location shall be collected and pooled, both to obtain enough material for analysis and to generate a representative sample at the core (i.e., centimeter) scale.
Figure 3. Temporal design of microbial sampling strategy. The temporal component consists of 2 phases designed to determine optimal sampling frequency.

In general, soil shall be sampled by horizon (e.g., separating organic from mineral horizons) and the top horizon shall be analyzed for microbial community diversity. NEON microbial soil sampling shall sample to a maximum depth of 30 cm where possible. It is critical that the locations from which soil samples are collected have not been disturbed prior to sampling. Due to the temporal component of the soil microbe analyses, soil core collection from one site should be done as close in time as possible (preferably all cores will be collected within 1-2 days of each other).
Upon collection, samples will be shipped on dry ice to NEON for analytical preparation or directly to contract facilities for nucleic acid extraction and sequencing, and for archival. NEON’s calibration and validation department will also randomly send a standard sample to determine the sequencing contract laboratory’s reproducibility.

Two pilot studies provide justification for the selected design presented here. The first prototype effort took place over the 2009-2010 field sampling season, a total of 408 samples were collected and analyzed from 4 domains that represent a broad latitudinal gradient with soils with unique characteristics, climates, temperature, and precipitation. Specifically, samples were collected from Utah (Domain 15; July 8, July 15, July 17, October 3, and March 2), Florida (Domain 3; June 29, July 10, July 16, October 15, February 15, and March 1), Hawai‘i (Domain 20; July 2, August 13, August 24, October 20, and February 11), and Alaska (Domain 19; June 28, August 8, and August 29) (Table 1).

Table 1. Location and general metadata associated with samples collected for the spatiotemporal prototype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Veg. type</th>
<th>Lat</th>
<th>Long</th>
<th>Elev. (m)</th>
<th>MAP (mm)</th>
<th>MAT (°C)</th>
<th>Soil pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>Boreal Forest/Taiga</td>
<td>65.15</td>
<td>-147.5</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>Grassland/Shrubland</td>
<td>40.18</td>
<td>-112.4</td>
<td>1676</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>Tropical Dry forest</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>-81.9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawai‘i</td>
<td>Tropical moist forest</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>-155.2</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At each site, a sample grid measuring 150 x 300 m overall containing eight 75 x 75 m cells was established within the expected airshed of the tower. Within each of the resulting eight cells, three sampling sites were selected by randomly assigned GPS coordinates to establish the first sample; additional samples were collected within plots for the duration of the study. A set of 3 cores encompassing the 0-10 cm depth interval beneath the litter layer was collected within each sampling cell at each time point. In addition, sub-sets of the three cores corresponding to each cell were combined for a composite sample representative of each cell. Five 10-gram sub-samples were obtained from each sample and labeled and frozen at -80 °C.

DNA was extracted from all soil samples as described previously by Fierer and Jackson (2006) using the PowerSoil DNA isolation kit from MoBio Laboratories (Carlsbad, CA) following Earth Microbiome Project standard protocols. Universal primers for the 16S rRNA gene, described by Hamady et al. (2008), were used to PCR amplify this gene from all soils in order to examine the diversity of bacteria and Archaea. The 18S rRNA gene was amplified using a set of universal primers designed by Knight and Fierer. All DNA sequencing was done by 454 pyrosequencing using the titanium protocol and analyzed using QIIME software.

In addition to rRNA gene sequencing, initial pilot strategies used a targeted nitrogen fixation functional gene approach (nifH genes) to determine functional diversity. Reads from 201 samples were filtered through initial barcode matching and quality control steps using the RDP pyro initial process tool. A total of 1.15 million reads matched the barcodes, and 89.33% passed the initial process filtering. Following FrameBot frameshift correction (99.97% of the sequences passed and the average frameshift rate was
sequences were aligned with HMMER3 and clustered using the complete-linkage clustering algorithm in the RDP myClust tool.

Microbial assemblages were compared with other biotic and abiotic information collected from each sample including the exact location of each sample based on GPS coordinates, a description of the environment where the sample was originally obtained, soil type, horizon, where each sample is in relation to the NEON tower, pH, water content, cation exchange capacity (including calcium magnesium, sodium, and potassium), percent organic matter, total carbon, total nitrogen, total biomass determined by phospholipid fatty acid analysis, chloride, nitrite, bromide, nitrate, phosphate, and sulfate.

The second pilot study involved collection of samples from Harvard forest in 2012. A total of 32 samples were collected from ten 20 x 20 m plots distributed throughout different vegetation types. At each plot, 3-5 samples were collected; each sample was divided by soil horizon for separate analysis. In two of the plots, 5 samples were collected and both the organic horizon and mineral horizon microbial communities were analyzed.

Microbial sequence data was processed using the QIIME pipeline (Caporaso et al. 2011) following standard Earth Microbiome Project protocols. A total of 23,376 unique bacterial/Archaeal sequences (97% cutoff) were identified from all samples, providing ample measures of biodiversity. The average number of sequences per sample was 1826 with a minimum of 22 sequences and a maximum of 3318.

6.1.1 Sampling Methods

The analysis of all major taxonomic groups shall be accomplished by targeting the 16S rRNA sequence for bacteria and Archaea. PCR primers that have been developed to target the V3-V4 region and shown to yield optimal community clustering will be used (Caporaso et al. 2011). For fungal communities, the nuclear ribosomal transcribed spacer region (ITS) will be targeted for amplification and sequenced using paired-end read sequencing for maximum resolution. The region spanning ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 can be amplified by universal primers in the conserved flanking regions of SSU and LSU and has been proposed as a barcode for fungi (Begerow et al. 2010). Despite differences in read length and sequencing protocols, there is a 90% overlap between 454 sequencing and Illumina-based sequencing (Luo et al., 2012). Due to the number of reads and cost of sequencing, the Illumina MiSeq platform shall be used for 16S and ITS sequencing, generating 15-20,000 paired-end reads of 300 bp per sample.

In addition to 16S and ITS rRNA gene sequencing, NEON shall shotgun sequence the metagenome of microbial communities using the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform to generate 5-10 million 300 bp paired-end reads per sample.

Functional diversity of soil microbial communities shall be measured by mRNA extraction and shotgun sequencing of surface/organic horizon soil samples using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. This strategy will allow NEON to sequence 5-10 million 300 bp paired-end reads per sample per time point.
Quantitative PCR shall be applied to 16S and ITS rRNA genes in order to account for microbial abundance and provide estimates of microbial biomass.

### 6.1.1 Spatial Distribution of Sampling

Initial prototype 16S community sequence data suggest that at the 150x300 m grid scale, total carbon is a dominant driver of microbial diversity and correlates significantly with the principal component that captures the most variation at each site (Figure 8). However, between sites pH correlates with the principal component and individual sites are closely grouped in principal component space. These data suggest that samples collected at the 150x300 m grid scale may be influenced by spatial autocorrelation and that the difference in within site variation and between site variation may be too great for effective scaling. Consequently, the collection of soil samples using plots distributed across vegetation types throughout the site is justified.

**Figure 4.** Principal component analysis of microbial communities. Individual sites (left) colors represent different sample collection dates. All sites (right) colors represent individual sites (blue = Utah; Green = Florida; Red = Hawai’i). Principal component analysis (x-axis is PC1, y-axis is PC2) on Operational Taxonomic Unit tables based on 97% cutoff. Insets display correlation between component scores (x-axis) and best fit environmental variable (y-axis; total carbon as percent sample as C).
Comparison of individual samples collected for microbial community analysis and the composite of 3 combined core samples belonging to the same cell suggest good correlation among dominant microbial OTU groups, but poor comparison in less abundant sequences (Figure 5). These data suggest that conclusions drawn from composite samples of rarer taxa based on low abundance sequence reads (<10) need to be done with caution. These data justify collection of multiple samples per plot rather than analysis of a pooled sample.

![Figure 5. Correlation between composite core sequences of 97% cutoff taxonomic groups and individual core sequences. Note, axes are log-scaled.](image)

In the second prototype, microbial communities from different vegetation types were determined. Harvard Forest has a clear distinction between mineral and organic horizons and these differences are clear and an important factor in defining microbial diversity (Figure 6).

In addition to 16S sequencing, Harvard Forest sample soil mRNA was extracted, enriched, and sequenced using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. These sequences are limited to organic horizon samples from a disturbed plot primarily with herbaceous vegetation, a successional shrubland plot, and four plots with mature forest of different plant diversity. The number of functional gene reads sequenced varied from 40,000 to 484,000 across samples. Principal component analysis suggest that over 80% of the variation is accounted for in the top leading variable (>90% in two components; Figure 7). Cluster analysis suggests that the vegetation type plays a critical role in structuring microbial communities based on the expressed functional genes.

Data from the pilot study suggest that collection of samples within a confined area such as the 300 x 150 m grid design is limited in spatial independence with respect to microbial community diversity (Table 3). This information in conjunction with Harvard Forest prototype (Figure 7) and recent metagenomic data
(Antonopoulos et al submitted) suggests adopting a spatially balanced design that is stratified by vegetation type (Figure 4; AD[04]).

Figure 6. Principal component analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequence of Harvard Forest microbial communities.

Figure 7. Functional diversity of Harvard Forest soil microbial communities using soil metatranscriptomics. Cluster analysis of microbial community gene expression (left) shows grouping by high-level vegetation type. Principal component analysis (right) suggests that over 80% of the variation is described by the leading variable.
The amount of soil extracted for template DNA in effect represents sampling effort. As such, the diversity of genes eventually sequenced from the template DNA could be influenced by the mass of soil extracted. To test this hypothesis, DNA was extracted from 4 grams of soil (in 0.33 g portions) from each of three Florida and three Utah soil samples, and combined to give the equivalents of template DNA from 0.33, 0.67, 1.0, 2.0 and 4.0 g of soil from each sample.

Similar to soil mass, the amount of template DNA amplified is another aspect of sample size. As such, the diversity of genes eventually sequenced from the template DNA could be influenced by the amount of template amplified. To test this hypothesis, DNA was extracted from 4 grams of soil from each of three Florida and three Utah soil samples and diluted to perform PCR with 1, 5, 10, 25 and 50 ng of template per 20 μl reaction.

An examination of results for individual samples showed an increase in observed OTUs with increasing soil mass for three of the six samples. Species accumulation curves showed that the Florida sample from 0.33 g of soil was less species rich than samples from greater amounts. There were no differences among the Florida samples from other soil masses or among any of the Utah samples from varying soil masses. Two of the Florida samples had the lowest Chao1 values (0.33 and 0.66 g of soil), suggesting very low biodiversity in sandy soils; there were no correlations between soil mass extracted and Chao1 for the Utah samples (see details in Wang et al., 2013).

For the Florida samples, no amplification occurred with 1 or 5 ng of template per reaction, suggesting a minimum sampling effort in these soils. Otherwise, no consistent increase in species richness with increasing template concentration was detected.

These pilot study data suggest that sampling will:

- Be collected from plots distributed in different vegetation types throughout each plot. The number of plots will range from 4 to 10 depending on vegetation diversity, site size, and available resources.
- Be composite of up to 3 cores collected within 1 m of each other. Composite samples will be necessary to obtain sufficient material for both microbial and biogeochemical assays.
- Encompass the surface horizon. In cases of a distinct organic horizon, this will be sampled separate from the mineral horizon. Where no organic horizon exists, sampling will include surface up to 30 cm depth.
- Provide sufficient material that nucleic acids be extracted from no less than 1 g of soil, as any effect of the soil mass extracted on measured gene diversity disappeared at masses of 1 g and larger.
- The data also suggest that for some samples, such as for two of the Florida samples, diversity estimates increase with increasing amounts of template used, from 10 to 50 ng per reaction. Preferring to err on the side of caution, NEON shall use 50 ng of template per reaction for PCR-based microbial measurements where available.
6.1.3 Temporal Distribution of Sampling

Initial pilot data at the 16/18S level suggest low seasonal variability, particularly when compared with spatial variability (Table 2). When attributing biotic or abiotic factors to microbial community diversity, seasonal variation is significant only in the 16S rRNA genes of the community from the Florida samples (Table 3).

Table 2. Power–law distance-decay models for 16S and 18S diversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>z-value</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16S</td>
<td>-0.105</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16S</td>
<td>-0.0113</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* z-value represents the exponent of the power-law function

Table 3. Mantel correlation between within-site environmental variation and community diversity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Environmental Variables*</th>
<th>Taxonomic Interaction**</th>
<th>Spatial Distribution</th>
<th>Temporal Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>16S rRNA</td>
<td>.305/.0003</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>.091/.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18S rRNA</td>
<td>.113/.004</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>16S</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
<td>0.31/.05</td>
<td>-0.082</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18S</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>16S</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18S</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>16S</td>
<td>.154/.008</td>
<td>.156/.005</td>
<td>.096/.004</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18S</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Environmental variables include pH, %OM, CEC, soil moisture, Mg, Ca, K, Na, total C, total N, biomass (PLFA), Cl, NO₃-N, SO₄, and PO₄.

**Taxonomic interaction is the correlation of 16S and 18S distance matrices.

The first number is the correlation coefficient, the second bolded number is the p-value. P-values are only reported for significant (p ≤ 0.05) values.
Measurements for microbial community diversity using 16S/ITS rRNA sequencing and microbial abundance/biomass will be based on NEON’s 2-phase approach for optimizing temporal frequency of sampling. Specifically, for the first two years, samples will be collected at monthly intervals to determine total seasonal variability. Temporal sampling frequency will be optimized to account for maximum seasonal variation. The proposed analysis of seasonal variation will be to place 12 monthly sampling data in an NMDS plot and highlight the 3 samples that are furthest from each other. Each year will provide 2 replicates to give an idea of an overall optimum sampling frequency.

Functional diversity, measured by sequencing the metatranscriptome will be measured 3 times per year per plot. One of these times should occur at peak greenness for plants. Details for site specific sample timing will be included in protocol appendices.

Metagenome sequence data shall be collected every 3-5 years under the assumption that metagenome sequences of these soils do not undergo rapid or seasonal changes that cannot be captured in other measurements (mRNA, 16S, ITS).

6.1.4 Logistics and Adaptability

The field of microbial ecology is evolving and NEON anticipates that the above-mentioned methods shall change over the course of the observatory. NEON intends to remain on the forefront of microbial assemblage analyses through continued interaction with and input from the soil microbial ecology community in developing and adopting standardized protocols. Substantial changes in methodology driven by the microbial ecology community could warrant future iterative examination of measurement methods.
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